# Tuning a reinforcement learning algorithm

Martin Zaefferer July 20, 2021

# Reinforcement learning ...

#### **Reinforcement learning**



# ... with Neural Networks

#### Neural Networks in reinforcement learning

- 'Policy': map states to actions
- Can have various shapes and forms
  - Tables, decision trees, ...
- Of special interest here:
  - Artificial neural networks (NN)
  - Weights of the NN are adapted / updated during learning



## Influencing performance

- Parameters of the learning algorithm
  - E.g., discount factors, step sizes, initial values, ...
- $\cdot$  Parameters of the NN
  - E.g., number of units, number of layers, learning rates, ...
- Other parameters
  - E.g., agent morphology, environmental parameters
- $\cdot$  Question is:
  - How to set the parameters correctly?
- Answer:
  - Tuning / optimization

# Limitations!

#### **Evaluation costs**

- $\cdot$  NN training can be costly
  - Thousands or millions of weights to set
- $\cdot$  Evaluating the agent in the environment may also be costly
  - E.g., observing a robot trying to solve a complex task
- $\cdot$  Costs can easily become prohibitive for tuning
- Solution: surrogate model-based optimization

### Surrogate model-based optimization

- Surrogate model
  - Learns relation between parameters and performance
- $\cdot$  Evaluate the surrogate model instead of the actual problem



## **Demonstrative experiment**

## **RL Environment: CartPole**

- · Cart balances pole
  - openai gym: CartPole-v0
- States: 4, continuous
  - Cart position & velocity
  - Pole angle & velocity
- Actions: 2, discrete
  - Push cart left
  - Push cart right

## **RL Environment: CartPole**

- Reward:
  - 1 for each time step
- Stop if:
  - Pole angle outside +/- 12 degree
  - Cart position outside +/- 2.4
  - After 200 time steps
- Learning problem solved if:
  - Average reward of 195.

## RL Agent: Actor-Critic

- Actor maps states to actions
- Critic learns 'value' of states (via rewards)
- Improve actor to beat critic estimation



Example by Apoorv Nandan, 2020/05/13, https://keras.io/examples/rl/actor\_critic\_cartpole/

## **RL** Tuning

- Tuned Parameters
  - num\_hidden NN units: 8, ..., 128
  - learning\_rate of NN ( $log_{10}$  scale): -4, ..., 0
  - gamma: Actor-Critic discount factor: 0.5, ..., 1.0
- Surrogate model: Gaussian process
- 2 hours runtime budget
- 5 replications for each parameter configuration

#### **Results: optimization progress**

· Best solution [52, -1.873, 0.9] with avg. reward ~181



evaluations

#### Results: reward ~ num\_hidden + learning\_rate



#### Results: reward ~ num\_hidden + gamma



#### **Results: parallel plot**



#### Results: reward, mean vs. standard deviation



# **Open issues**

## **Open issues**

- $\cdot$  Use of resources
  - How many replications do we need?
  - How long should we let the agent interact with the environment?
  - Balancing the above (they interact)?
- Multiple goals:
  - Maximize reward
  - Minimize runtime
  - Minimize memory, CPU use (e.g., for edge devices)

### **Open issues**

- Neural architecture search
  - Representations (e.g., blocks / cells, chains, 'unrestricted')
  - Search operators
  - Measuring network similarities / kernels
- Conditional parameter spaces
- High number of hyperparameters
- Transfer learning

# Thanks for your attention. Questions?